
BEYOND THE PORTAL



TECHNOLOGY FOR IMPROVED CONSUMER ENGAGEMENT

A CHILMARK RESEARCH INSIGHT REPORT

SEPTEMBER 2016



ABOUT CHILMARK RESEARCH

Chilmark Research is a global research and advisory firm whose sole focus is the market for healthcare IT solutions. This focus allows us to provide our clients with the most in-depth and accurate research on the critical technology and adoption trends occurring throughout the healthcare sector. Areas of current research focus include, among others: Payer-Provider Convregence, Clinician Network Management (HIE 2.0), Analytics (with a focus on applications in population health), Care Management, Patient Relationship Management, Population Health Management, Payment Model Transformation, Cloud-computing, and Remote Monitoring/Telehealth.

Using a pragmatic, evidence-based research methodology with a strong emphasis on primary research, Chilmark Research structures its research reports to serve the needs of technology end users & adopters (HCOs), consultants, investors, and technology vendors. In addition to reports for the general market, Chilmark Research performs research for clients based on their specific needs. Such research has included competitive analyses, market opportunity assessments, strategic assessment of market and vendors for partnership and/or acquisition.

In 2012, Chilmark Research launched its premium subscription service, the Chilmark Advisory Service (CAS). The CAS was created in direct response to clients' request for a continuous feed of research on the most pertinent trends in the adoption and use of healthcare IT. This is an annual subscription that provides not only access to a number of research reports throughout the year, but also direct access to Chilmark Research analysts to answer specific client needs. Please contact us directly for further information about CAS.

Chilmark Research is proud of the clients it has had the pleasure to serve including Abbott Labs, Aetna/Healthagen, Anthem BlueCross BlueShield, Catholic Healthcare East, Cerner, HCA, Health Catalyst, Highmark, IBM Watson Health, Kaiser-Permanente, McKesson, McKinsey, Microsoft, Philips Healthcare, and many more. It is our hope that at some future date we will have the pleasure to serve you more directly as well.



The information in this report is proprietary to and copyrighted by Chilmark Research. No part of this report may be reproduced or distributed without prior permission of Chilmark Research. The information contained within the report is not intended as a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any investment or other specific product. All information and opinions expressed in this report were obtained from sources believed to be reliable and in good faith. No representations or warranty expressed or implied is made as to its accuracy or completeness. Trademarked and service marked names appear throughout this report. Rather than use a trademark or service mark symbol with every occurrence, names are used in an editorial fashion, with no intention of infringement of the respective owner's trademark or service mark.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Why Is Portal Adoption So Low?	8
Why Replace the Patient Portal?	9
It's not a tool for coordinated care	11
It's not a tool for population health management (PHM)	11
It's a system of record, not a system of engagement	11
It doesn't encourage behavior change	12
It's tied to the health system, not the individual	12
It's not a tool for consumer-driven health	13
HALLENGES IN REPLACING THE PATIENT POR	TAL
Too Many Entry Points to Healthcare	14
Limited IT Resources	14
Data Acquisition	14
Serving the Wrong Constituency	15
Resistance to Change	15
Healthcare's "Perpetual Pilot" Phase	16
Long Learning Curve	16
Privacy and Security Concerns	17
Alphabet Soup of Mandates	17
Standalone Architecture	
Provides Augmented Functionality	
Adds Mobility	
Integrates Wearable Tech (When Appropriate)	
Integrates With Clinical Applications	
Becomes a True Collaborative Health Record (CHR)	
Evolves Into PRM Tool	
EVOIVES IIITO I KIVI 1001	
HO WILL DRIVE ENGAGEMENT INNOVATION	7
Primary Stakeholders: Those Who Deliver, Pay For, and Receive Care	•
	25
Healthcare Organizations (HCOs)	



Payers and Employers	25
Consumers	26
Implications for Primary Stakeholders	27
Short-Term Pain: Choices, ROI, Evolution	27
Long-Term Gain: CHR, Multimodal Experience, Self-Management	28
Secondary Stakeholders: Solution Providers	30
Care Management Vendors	30
PRM and Other Emerging Vendors	30
MARKET OUTLOOK, 2016 TO 2020	
Interim Step: Clinical or EHR-Based PHR	32
Two Solutions or One?	33
EHRs Give Way to PRM, Care Management Solutions	33
As Meaningful Use Fades, EHRs Evolve	34
For Other Vendors, Years of Volatility	34
Devices Arrive in Due Time	35
Progress to System of Engagement Hastens, Remains Incomplete	36
Stakeholders Move Forward But Remain Stratified	37
Stakeholders All-in on VBR Keen to Invest	39
Alternative Care Providers Emphasize Engagement	39
Many HCOs Have Hands Tied	40
CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
Key Takeaways for Vendors	41
Push the Envelope	41
Emphasize Consumer-Centered Design	41
Focus on Behavior Change	42
Embrace Collaboration	43
Think Small – and Think Big	43
Key Takeaways for Primary Stakeholders	44
Align Technology, Customer Experience, and Business Model	44
Focus on the Big Picture	44
Look Beyond Your Organization's Walls	45
Define Your Value Proposition	45
A Small Step Is Better Than No Step at All	45
When In Doubt, Ask Your Customers	46
All Stakeholders Will See Savings, But It Will Take Time	46

TABLES AND FIGURES

E)	RECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	Figure 1: The Care Management Life Cycle	10
	Figure 2: Stratifying Population for Cost and Care Models	10
	Figure 3: The Path From Engagement to Empowerment	12
Cŀ	HALLENGES IN REPLACING THE PATIENT PORTAL	
	Figure 4: The Data Value Chain	15
	Table 1: Summary of Challenges HCOs Face Related to Portal	16
BE	YOND THE PATIENT PORTAL: A BROADER ENGAGEMENT	MODI
	Table 2: Engagement Goals for Differing Care Types	18
	Table 3: Growing Needs of Consumers Across the Care Continuum	
	Figure 5: Clinical, Holistic, and Community Care Teams	
	Figure 6: Next-Generation Engagement Solutions as a Nerve Center for Patient Data	
	Table 4: Characteristics of Next-Generation Patient Engagement	21
	Figure 7: The Collaborative Health Record	23
W	HO WILL DRIVE ENGAGEMENT INNOVATION?	
	Table 5: Characteristics of Payer vs. Provider Engagement	28
	Figure 8: The Vision for Care Management's Future	29
	Table 6: Vendors Leading the Way in Engagement	31
М	ARKET OUTLOOK, 2016 TO 2020	
	Figure 9: A "Portal of Portals"	32
	Figure 11: System of Record vs. System of Engagement	36
	Figure 10: Wearable Device Innovation Timeline	36
	Figure 12: Engagement Solution Maturity Model	38
	Table 7: Stakeholders Keen To Invest in Engagement	39
	Figure 13: HCO Investment Model for Engagement Solutions	40



CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Table 8: Key Takeaways for Next-Generation Engagement Solution Vendors	41
Figure 14: Iterative Process for Consumer-Centered Desig	42
Figure 15: Aligning Factors for Successful Engagement	44
Table 9: Key Takeaways for Primary Stakeholders	44



745 ATLANTIC AVENUE, 8TH FLOOR BOSTON, MA 02111

WWW.CHILMARKRESEARCH.COM

INFO@CHILMARKRESEARCH.COM

PH: 617.615.9344